**Reading & Resources Links**

**WEEK 1: Theories, Paradigms, and Levels of Analysis**

Stephen Walt, “The Relationship between Theory and Policy in International Relations,” Annual Review of Political Science, 2005. 8:23-48.

<http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=tsh&AN=17053609&site=ehost-live&scope=site>

J. David Singer, “The Level-Of-Analysis Problem in International Relations,” World Politics, Vol. 14, No.1, (Oct. 1961): 77-92.<http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://jstor.org/stable/2009557>

Scott Sagan, “Why do States Build nuclear Weapons? Three Models in Search of a Bomb,” International Security, Vol. 21, Winter 1996/97: 54-86.<http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539273>

Clayton M. Christensen and Michael E. Raynor, “Why Hard-Nosed Executives Should Care About Management Theory,” Harvard Business Review Vol. 81, Issue 9 (September 2003): 66-74. [http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://web.a.ebscohost.com/ehost/detail/detail?vid=2&sid=e3954d31-1b61-4988-a7ef-fdbf154cd978%40sessionmgr4004&hid=4104&bdata=JnNpdGU9ZWhvc3QtbGl2ZSZzY29wZT1zaXRl#db=bth&AN=10687896](http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://web.a.ebscohost.com/ehost/detail/detail?vid=2&sid=e3954d31-1b61-4988-a7ef-fdbf154cd978%40sessionmgr4004&hid=4104&bdata=JnNpdGU9ZWhvc3QtbGl2ZSZzY29wZT1zaXRl%23db=bth&AN=10687896)

**Sources:**

David Armstrong, “The Evolution of International Society, “ in John Baylis, Steve Smith & Patricia Owens (eds.), The Globalization of World Politics (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2011): 34-50

Len Scott, “International history, 1900-90,” in John Baylis, Steve Smith & Patricia Owens (eds.), The Globalization of World Politics (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2011): 50-66

Michael Cox, “From the Cold War to the World Economic Crisis,” in John Baylis, Steve Smith & Patricia Owens (eds.), The Globalization of World Politics (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2011): 66-83

Stephen Walt, “The Relationship between Theory and Policy in International Relations,” Annual Review of Political Science, 2005. 8:23-48.

[http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://web.b.ebscohost.com/ehost/detail?vid=3&sid=30316cf6-7847-49db-9eca-62fe2fcf3ba6%40sessionmgr112&hid=125&bdata=JnNpdGU9ZWhvc3QtbGl2ZSZzY29wZT1zaXRl - db=aph&AN=17053609](http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://web.b.ebscohost.com/ehost/detail?vid=3&sid=30316cf6-7847-49db-9eca-62fe2fcf3ba6%40sessionmgr112&hid=125&bdata=JnNpdGU9ZWhvc3QtbGl2ZSZzY29wZT1zaXRl#db=aph&AN=17053609)

J. David Singer, “The Level-Of-Analysis Problem in International Relations,” World Politics, Vol. 14, No.1, (Oct. 1961): 77-92.<http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://jstor.org/stable/2009557>

Scott Sagan, “Why do States Build nuclear Weapons? Three Models in Search of a Bomb,” International Security, Vol. 21, Winter 1996/97: 54-86. <http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539273>

Clayton M. Christensen and Michael E. Raynor, “Why Hard-Nosed Executives Should Care About Management Theory,” Harvard Business Review Vol. 81, Issue 9 (September 2003): 66-74. [http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://web.a.ebscohost.com/ehost/detail/detail?vid=2&sid=e3954d31-1b61-4988-a7ef-fdbf154cd978%40sessionmgr4004&hid=4104&bdata=JnNpdGU9ZWhvc3QtbGl2ZSZzY29wZT1zaXRl#db=bth&AN=10687896](http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://web.a.ebscohost.com/ehost/detail/detail?vid=2&sid=e3954d31-1b61-4988-a7ef-fdbf154cd978%40sessionmgr4004&hid=4104&bdata=JnNpdGU9ZWhvc3QtbGl2ZSZzY29wZT1zaXRl%23db=bth&AN=10687896)

**WEEK 2: Systemic Theories: Neorealism, Neoliberalism, and Constructivism**

Benjamin Frankel, “Restating the realist case: An introduction,” Security Studies, Vol. 5, No. 3 (1996): 9-20.<http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09636419608429274>

Robert O. Keohane, “Twenty Years of Institutional Liberalism,” International Relations Vol. 26, No 2 (2012): 125-138. <http://ire.sagepub.com/content/26/2/125.full.pdf>

Robert Jervis, “Realism, Neoliberalism, and Cooperation: Understanding the Debate,” International Security Vol. 24 (Summer 1999): 42-63. <http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539347>

Martha Finnemore and Kathryn Sikkink, “International Norm Dynamics and Political Change,” International Organization 52, No. 4 (Autumn 1998): 887-917.  <http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601361>

Alex Wendt, “Anarchy is What States Make of It,” International Organization Vol. 46, No.2 (1992): 391-425. <http://ezproxy.apus/edu/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706858>

**Readings**

Benjamin Frankel, “Restating the realist case: An introduction,” Security Studies, Vol. 5, No. 3 (1996): 9-20.http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09636419608429274

Robert O. Keohane, “Twenty Years of Institutional Liberalism,” International Relations Vol. 26, No 2 (2012): 125-138. http://ire.sagepub.com/content/26/2/125.full.pdf

Robert Jervis, “Realism, Neoliberalism, and Cooperation: Understanding the Debate,” International Security Vol. 24 (Summer 1999): 42-63. <http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539347>

Martha Finnemore and Kathryn Sikkink, “International Norm Dynamics and Political Change,” International Organization 52, No. 4 (Autumn 1998): 887-917.  <http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601361>

Alex Wendt, “Anarchy is What States Make of It,” International Organization Vol. 46, No.2 (1992): 391-425. <http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706858>

**WEEK 3 -- The Unit Level: Neo-classical Realism, Liberalism, and Culture**

Gideon Rose, “Neoclassical Realism and Theories of Foreign Policy,” World Politics Vol. 51, October 1998: 144-172. <http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/25054068>

Elizabeth Kier, “Culture and French Military Doctrine Before World War II,” in Peter Katzenstein (ed), The Culture of National Security: Norms and Identity in World Politics (New York: Columbia University Press, 1996), Chapter 6. <http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://www.ciaonet.org/book/katzenstein/katz06.html>

Michael Doyle, “Liberalism and World Politics,” American Political Science Review 80 (December 1986): 1151-1169. <http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/1960861>

Robert Putnam, “Diplomacy and Domestic Politics: The Logic of Two-Level Games,” International Organization Vol. 42, No.3 (1988): 427-460. <http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706785>

**Sources**

Gideon Rose, “Neoclassical Realism and Theories of Foreign Policy,” *World Politics* Vol. 51, October 1998: 144-172. <http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/25054068>

Elizabeth Kier, “Culture and French Military Doctrine Before World War II,” in Peter Katzenstein (ed), *The Culture of National Security: Norms and Identity in World Politics* (New York: Columbia University Press, 1996), Chapter 6. <http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://www.ciaonet.org/book/katzenstein/katz06.html>

Michael Doyle, “Liberalism and World Politics,” *American Political Science Review* 80 (December 1986): 1151-1169. <http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/1960861>

Robert Putnam, “Diplomacy and Domestic Politics: The Logic of Two-Level Games,” *International Organization* Vol. 42, No.3 (1988): 427-460. <http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706785>

**WEEK 4 -- The Individual Level: Policymakers and their environment**

Daniel Byman and Kenneth Pollack, “Let us now Praise Great men: Bringing the Statesman Back In,” International Security Vol. 25,  (No. 4) Spring 2001: 107-146. <http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/3092135>

Morton Halperin, Priscilla Klapp, and Arnold Kanter, Bureaucratic Politics and Foreign Policy, 2nd Edition (Washington DC: Brookings Institution Press, 2006): 9-98 (Chapters 2-5).  http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=nlebk&AN=174423&site=ehost-live

Steve Smith, “Groupthink and the Hostage Rescue Mission,” British Journal of Political Science Vol. 15, No.1 (January 1985): 117-123. <http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/193750>

**Sources**

Daniel Byman and Kenneth Pollack, “Let us now Praise Great men: Bringing the Statesman Back In,” International Security Vol. 25,  (No. 4) Spring 2001: 107-146. <http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/3092135>

Morton Halperin, Priscilla Klapp, and Arnold Kanter, Bureaucratic Politics and Foreign Policy, 2nd Edition (Washington DC: Brookings Institution Press, 2006): 9-98 (Chapters 2-5). http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=nlebk&AN=174423&site=ehost-live

Steve Smith, “Groupthink and the Hostage Rescue Mission,” British Journal of Political Science Vol. 15, No.1 (January 1985): 117-123. <http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/193750>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **WEEK 5 -- Alternative Approaches: Feminism, and Critical Theory** |  |
|  |  |

J.Ann Tickner, “You just don’t understand: Troubled engagements between Feminists and IR theorists.” International Studies Quarterly Vol. 41, Issue 4 (1997): 611-632 <http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600855>

Laura Sjoberg, “Gendered Realities of the Immunity Principle: Why Gender Analysis needs Feminism,” International Studies Quarterly, Vol. 50, Issue 4(2006): 889-910.<http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/4092784>

John M. Hobson and J.C. Sharman, “The Enduring Place of Hierarchy in World Politics: Tracing the Social Logics of Hierarchy and Political Change.” European Journal of International Relations Vol. 11, No.1 (2005): 63-98.<http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://search.proquest.com/docview/211965494?accountid=8289>

Friedrich Kratochwil, “History, action, and identity: Revisiting the ‘Second” Great Debate and Assessing its Importance for Social Theory.” European Journal of International Relations Vol. 12, No.1 (2006): 5-29. <http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://search.proquest.com/docview/211985246?accountid=8289>

**Sources**

J.Ann Tickner, “You just don’t understand: Troubled engagements between Feminists and IR theorists.” International Studies Quarterly Vol. 41, Issue 4 (1997): 611-632 <http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600855>

Laura Sjoberg, “Gendered Realities of the Immunity Principle: Why Gender Analysis needs Feminism,” International Studies Quarterly, Vol. 50, Issue 4(2006): 889-910.<http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/4092784>

John M. Hobson and J.C. Sharman, “The Enduring Place of Hierarchy in World Politics: Tracing the Social Logics of Hierarchy and Political Change.” European Journal of International Relations Vol. 11, No.1 (2005): 63-98.<http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://search.proquest.com/docview/211965494?accountid=8289>

Friedrich Kratochwil, “History, action, and identity: Revisiting the ‘Second” Great Debate and Assessing its Importance for Social Theory.” European Journal of International Relations Vol. 12, No.1 (2006): 5-29. <http://ezproxy.apus.edu/login?url=http://search.proquest.com/docview/211985246?accountid=8289>