THE EFFECT OF CELL PHONES ON LEARNING

Karra Marie Coburn Taylor Harbold Bayley Harmon Danielle D'Angelo

Introduction

- Cell phones can be a major distraction in the world today
- Distract at work, in classrooms, while driving, simply while cleaning the house, etc.
- One may miss a note in class due to cell phone distraction, or even harm/kill oneself or another in an accident due to distraction

Serious problem in society today

Introduction Article 1

Interference of Cellular Phone Conversations with Visuomotor Tasks: An ERP Study

- Cell phones increase reaction time
- Studied the reaction time in 3 conditions (no phone, hands free, and phone in hand)
- 2 different cell phone conversations (1 business and 1 regarding the experiment)
- Participants watched a computer screen with 2 visual stimuli
 - Yellow sun (target) and red circle (distractor)
 - Target appeared with a probability of 0.2 and the distractor 0.8
 - Participants had to click the mouse when they saw the target
 - Speed and accuracy was examined in the 3 conditions
 - Motor retention times and number of errors measured

Results

- Reaction times increased from 348 +/- 80 ms (control) to 409 +/-110 ms (hands free) to 418 +/- 110 ms (phone in hand)
- ✓ Significant effect on the slowed negativity in both hands free and phone in hand compared to control

Use of cell phones linked with a delayed reaction time

- Decrease in sensory inputs
- > Less preparedness to respond with a motor act

Introduction Article 2

Effects of Classroom Cell Phone use on Expected and Actual learning

- Used a repeated measures design, stimulated classroom presentation, measured performance with a 10-item quiz
- Hypothesis 1- The anticipated learning decrease of test scores for students would be lower based on the frequency of cell phone use
- Hypothesis 2- Students would have higher scores after watching the presentation in the non-texting group than the texting group.
- IV- texting/non texting
- DV-number of correct answers/test scores
- Results- All students believed that their test scores would decline with cell phone use; however those that defined themselves as avid users perceived their test scores as not dropping as much as those that were infrequent texters/cell phone users.
- Study 2- Scores were significantly lower when students texted than when they did not.

Introduction Article 3

Texting as a Distraction to Learning in College Students

- Experiment involved prerecorded lecture, multiple choice quiz, survey testing actions/abilities/attitudes of texter's
- Hypothesis 1: Students text messaging during a lecture would perform worse on a lecture quiz than those who were not text messaging.
- Hypothesis 2: For the texting group, perceived distraction and texting ability will moderate the effect of texting on quiz performance
- IV: text message/no text messaging DV: # correct answers on lecture quiz
- Results:
 - Hypothesis 1 supported: Text messaging group scored significantly lower in # of correct answers, with 58% correct. Control group = 71% correct
 - Moderating effects (perceived distraction, perceived texting ability, # of messages sent/received, age, gender) were considered, but no moderating effects were found
 - Texting did cognitively distract students in the classroom

Introduction to Our Experiment

- Experiment conducted to determine how cell phones affect learning
- Article read to participants/quiz given after
- Independent variable:
 - confederate using cell phone vs. no cell phone
- Dependent variable:
 - # of correct answered measured to observe learning

Our Participants

- 31 participants (16 males)
 - 18 24 years
- Participants gathered from UNCW library
- Mean age: 20.61
- Standard Deviation: 2.17

Sampling Procedure

- No random sampling
- Random assignment to conditions using a coin:
 - Heads: Experimental
 - Cell phones
 - Tails: Control
 - No cell phones

Materials

- Penny
- Pencils/pens
- Confederate with cell phone present/not
- Article on Kuru: an incurable, rare neurological disorder
- Quizzes we created
 - 10 multiple choice questions
 - Somewhat difficult
 - Attention needed

The Quiz

- 1. This disease was a serious issue during:
- a)1910s-1920s b)1930s-1940s
- c)1970s-1980s d)1950s-1960s
- 2. This disease was spread by...
- a) Open sores
- b) Eating brain tissue of deceased family members
- c) Contact with saliva
- d) A&B only
- e) All of the above
- 3. All of the following are examples of TSE's EXCEPT:
- a) Chondropblastoma
- b) prion diseas
- c) Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease d) mad cow disease
- 4. How long before an infected person shows symptoms?
- a) 5 days

- b) 4 weeks
- c) 2-4 months
- d) Years or decades
- 5. Kuru mainly affects what part of the brain?
- a) Cerebrum

b) Cerebellum

c) Medulla

d) Pons

- 6. Kuru is the Fore word for:
- a) Forgetful
 - b)Shiver
- c) Stutter
- d) Sickness
- 7. After the first appearance of symptoms, individuals with Kuru usually die after:
- a) 3-4 weeks
- 6-7 days days
- c) 12 weeks
- 6-12 months
- Within 3 years
- 8. All of the following are symptoms except:
- Gait
- b) Tremors
- c) Dementia d) Slurred speech
- e) Mood swings
- 9. Which of the following statements is false?
- a) There's no treatment
- b) Kuru can be treated with antibiotics
- c) Kuru cannot be controlled once an individual is infected
- d) Kuru will cause death in an infected individual
- 10. This disease was a result of what ritualistic practice?
- a) Scarification
- b) Cannibalism
- c) Impaling
- d) Self- flagellation

Procedures

- Asked to participate, consent received
- Taken to study room
 - Confederate, aka "other participant" was already seated
- Both informed to listen and try to avoid distraction due to quiz after
- Coin flipped
- Began reading article

Procedures Continued

- Experimental Confederate:
 - Cell phone high volume/vibrate, constant texts received/sent, mess with apps
- Control Confederate:
 - Sit still/listen quietly/no distraction
- Quiz given
 - Exp. Conf. still causing distraction
- Gender, age, condition, <u>correct answers</u> recorded

Results

- Levene's Test = not statistically significant
- T-test used to examine means
 - Significantly different: t(29) = 3.592, P = .001
 - Control group had more correct answers (M = 6.43, SD = 1.089) than experimental group (M = 5.06, SD = 1.029)

Discussion

- Results supported hypothesis (cell phone distraction would impair learning)
- Cell phone distraction = fewer correct answers
- No cell phone distraction = more correct answers
- No significant variation between gender in correct/incorrect answers
- Cell phones caused participants to retain less information
 - = fewer correct answers, less retained/learned

Discussion Continued

Possible Limitations:

- Small sample
- Participants ONLY from Randall Library
- Other factors that may have distracted other than cell phone present:
 - People passing by
 - Background noise
 - Speed article read
 - Amount of texts received/sent
- Participant learning style not measured
 - Auditory, visual, hands-on

Discussion Continued

Article 1

- Cell phones decrease reaction times
- Less preparedness to respond with a motor act in the presence of cell phones

Article 2

Texting does affect test scores negatively

Article 3

- Texting does cognitively distract students in a classroom setting
- Further research: more diverse sample & sample taken from a location other than library

References

- Dietz, S. & Henrich, C. (2014). Texting as a distraction to learning in college students. Computers in Human Behavior, 36, 163-167. doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2014.03.045
- Froese, A. D., Carpenter, C. N., Inman, D. A., Schooley, J. R., Barnes, R. B., Brecht, P. W., & Chacon, J. D. (2012). Effects of classroom cell phone use on expected and actual learning. *College Student Journal*, 46(2), 323-332.
- Garcia-Larrea, L., Perchet, C., Perrin, F., & Amenedo, E. (2001). Interference of cellular phone conversations with visuomotor tasks: An ERP study. *Journal Of Psychophysiology*, 15(1), 14-21. doi:10.1027//0269-8803.15.1.14