

the extrema subject to two constraints is on page 11 in the link above

## 5 Extrema subject to two constraints

Here is Theorem 1 with  $m = 2$ .

**Theorem 3** Suppose that  $n > 2$ . If  $\mathbf{X}_0$  is a local extreme point of  $f$  subject to  $g_1(\mathbf{X}) = g_2(\mathbf{X}) = 0$  and

$$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial g_1(\mathbf{X}_0)}{\partial x_r} & \frac{\partial g_1(\mathbf{X}_0)}{\partial x_s} \\ \frac{\partial g_2(\mathbf{X}_0)}{\partial x_r} & \frac{\partial g_2(\mathbf{X}_0)}{\partial x_s} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0 \quad (19)$$

for some  $r$  and  $s$  in  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , then there are constants  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  such that

$$\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{X}_0)}{\partial x_i} - \lambda \frac{\partial g_1(\mathbf{X}_0)}{\partial x_i} - \mu \frac{\partial g_2(\mathbf{X}_0)}{\partial x_i} = 0, \quad (20)$$

$1 \leq i \leq n$ .

**Proof** For notational convenience, let  $r = 1$  and  $s = 2$ . Denote

$$\mathbf{U} = (x_3, x_4, \dots, x_n) \text{ and } \mathbf{U}_0 = (x_{30}, x_{40}, \dots, x_{n0}).$$

Since

$$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial g_1(\mathbf{X}_0)}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial g_1(\mathbf{X}_0)}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial g_2(\mathbf{X}_0)}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial g_2(\mathbf{X}_0)}{\partial x_2} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0, \quad (21)$$

the Implicit Function Theorem (Theorem 6.4.1, p. 420) implies that there are unique continuously differentiable functions

$$h_1 = h_1(x_3, x_4, \dots, x_n) \text{ and } h_2 = h_2(x_3, x_4, \dots, x_n),$$

defined on a neighborhood  $N \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$  of  $\mathbf{U}_0$ , such that  $(h_1(\mathbf{U}), h_2(\mathbf{U}), \mathbf{U}) \in D$  for all  $\mathbf{U} \in N$ ,  $h_1(\mathbf{U}_0) = x_{10}$ ,  $h_2(\mathbf{U}_0) = x_{20}$ , and

I don't get how they can take the derivative of  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  with respect to  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  when they are defined as

$$h_1 = h_1(x_3, x_4, \dots, x_n) = x_1 \text{ and } h_2 = h_2(x_3, x_4, \dots, x_n) = x_2$$

I need a mathematical justification for how this can be written simply as (21) when  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are defined as functions from other variables:  $x_3, x_4, \dots, x_n$

Is it by using the chain rule or something else? Please go through the detailed steps for how this can be a normal quadratic matrix as defined in the implicit function theorem and by that showing why this is an applicable form of the implicit function theorem nonsingular matrix in (21):

Note:

The function  $G$  has image in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , so we call the two components  $h_1$  and  $h_2$ , that is,  $G(Y) = (h_1(Y), h_2(Y))$  where  $h_1, h_2 : \mathbb{R}^{n-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . For us  $Y = (x_3, \dots, x_n)$ , therefore both functions  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  depend on  $(x_3, \dots, x_n)$  and we write that by saying  $h_1 = h_1(x_3, \dots, x_n)$  and  $h_2 = h_2(x_3, \dots, x_n)$  (there is a typo there in the book, certainly it is not necessarily true that  $h_2 = h_1$ ). The two functions  $h_1, h_2$  are defined on the neighborhood  $N$  of  $Y_0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n-2}$ .

Now, from (3), for all  $\mathbf{Y} \in N$ ,  $(G(\mathbf{Y}), \mathbf{Y}) = (h_1(\mathbf{Y}), h_2(\mathbf{Y}), \mathbf{Y}) \in M$ . As  $M \subseteq D$  we have  $(h_1(\mathbf{Y}), h_2(\mathbf{Y}), \mathbf{Y}) \in D$ .

**Theorem 6.4.1 (The Implicit Function Theorem)** *Suppose that  $F : \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is continuously differentiable on an open set  $S$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  containing  $(\mathbf{X}_0, \mathbf{U}_0)$ . Let  $F(\mathbf{X}_0, \mathbf{U}_0) = \mathbf{0}$ , and suppose that  $F_U(\mathbf{X}_0, \mathbf{U}_0)$  is nonsingular. Then there is a neighborhood  $M$  of  $(\mathbf{X}_0, \mathbf{U}_0)$ , contained in  $S$ , on which  $F_U(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{U})$  is nonsingular and a neighborhood  $N$  of  $\mathbf{X}_0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  on which a unique continuously differentiable transformation  $G : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is defined, such that  $G(\mathbf{X}_0) = \mathbf{U}_0$  and*

Search for the theorem in this link if you want to see more from the implicit function theorem. It is on page 420

[http://ramanujan.math.trinity.edu/wtrench/texts/TRENCH\\_REAL\\_ANALYSIS.PDF](http://ramanujan.math.trinity.edu/wtrench/texts/TRENCH_REAL_ANALYSIS.PDF)