**Weekly Discussion Rubric**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Evaluation** | **Assessment guide** |
| **Excellent**  Initial (6 pts) Peer (4 pts) | The postings exceed discussion and critique requirements. Although a single posting is required, the student may post multiple entries. Each posting is more than 100 words in length. Each considers a different work and offers insight and thoughtful analysis; it does not simply summarize. It contains no errors. |
| **Above Average**  Initial (5 pts) Peer (2.5 pts) | The posting slightly exceeds discussion and critique requirements. The posting is 100 words in length and offers insight and thoughtful analysis of a reading. It contains few errors. |
| **Meets Expectations**  Initial (4 pts) Peer (2 pts) | The posting meets discussion and critique requirements. It is 75 words in length and offers a response with some analysis. It may contain multiple errors. |
| **Below Requirements**  Initial (3 pts) Peer (1.5 pts) | The posting is slightly below critique requirements. The posting is less than 75 words in length and offers little analysis and/or contains multiple minor errors. |
| **Lacking Effort**  Initial (2 pts) Peer (1 pts) | The posting falls considerably short of critique requirements. It is less than 75 words and offers little other than a summary of a reading and/or contains multiple major grammatical and/or sentence structure errors. |
| **Unacceptable**  (0 pts) | The posting does not meet minimal expectations and contains multiple major grammatical and/or sentence structure errors. |