TABLE 4.2 Internal Factor Analysis Summary (IFAS): Maytag as Example

	Weighted						
Internal Factors	Weight	Rating	Score	Comments			
Strengths 1	2	3	4	5			
Quality Maytag culture	.15	5	.75	Quality key to success			
Experienced top management	.05	4	.20	Know appliances			
Vertical integration	.10	4	.40	Dedicated factories			
Employee relations	.05	3	.15	Good, but deteriorating			
Hoover's international orientation	.15	3	.45	Hoover name in cleaners			
Weaknesses							
Process-oriented R&D	.05	2	.10	Slow on new products			
Distribution channels	.05	2	.10	Superstores replacing small dealers			
Financial position	.15	2	.30	High debt load			
Global positioning	.20	2	.40	Hoover weak outside the New Zealand, U.K., and Australia			
Manufacturing facilities	.05	4	.20	Investing now			
Totals	1.00		3.05				

TABLE 3.3 External Factor Analysis Summary (EFAS): Maytag as Example

External Factors	Weight	Rating	Weighted Score	Comments
Opportunities 1	2	3	4	5
Economic integration of European Union	.20	4	.80	Acquisition of Hoover
Demographics favor quality appliances	.10	5	.50	Maytag quality
Economic development of Asia	.05	1	.05	Low Maytag presence
Opening of Eastern Europe	.05	2	.10	Will take time
• Trend to superstores	.10	2	.20	Maytag weak in this channel
Threats				
Increasing government regulations	.10	4	.40	Well positioned
Strong U.S. competition	.10	4	.40	Well positioned
Whirlpool and Electrolux strong globally	.15	4	.45	Hoover weak globally
New product advances	.05	1	.05	Questionable
Japanese appliance companies	.10	2	.20	Only Asian presence is Australia
Totals	1.00		3.15	

FIGURE 5.1 St

Strategic Factor (Select the most opportunities thre EFAS, Table 3-3, most important s and weaknesses IFAS, Table 4-2)

- Quality Maytag c
- Hoover's internat orientation (S)
- Financial position
- Global positionin
- Economic integration
 European Union
- Demographics fa quality (O)
- Trend to supersto (O + T)
- Whirlpool and Electrolux (T)
- Japanese appliar companies (T)

Totals

Notes:

- 1. List each of the strateg
- Weight each factor from probable impact on the
- 3. Rate each factor from 5
- 4. Multiply each factor's v
- 5. For duration in Column 3 years; long term—ove
- 6. Use Column 6 (comme
- Add the weighted score how well the company

Source: T. J. Wheelen and 1989, 1990, 1991, 1992, 199 Wheelen and Hunger Ass



P9 79

FIGURE 5.1 Strategic Factor Analysis Summary (SFAS) Matrix

1	2	3	4	5 Duration			6
Strategic Factors (Select the most important opportunities/threats from EFAS, Table 3-3, and the most important strengths and weaknesses from IFAS, Table 4-2)	Weight	Rating	Weighted Score	Short	l n t e r m e d l a t e	Long	Comments
Quality Maytag culture (S)	.10	5	.5			Х	Quality key to success
Hoover's international orientation (S)	.10	3	.3		Χ		Name recognition
Financial position (W)	.10	2	.2		X		High debt
Global positioning (W)	.15	2	.3			Х	Only in New Zealand, U.K., and Australia
Economic integration of European Union (O)	.10	4	.4			Х	Acquisition of Hoover
Demographics favor quality (O)	.10	5	.5		Χ		Maytag quality
• Trend to superstores (O + T)	.10	2	.2	Х			Weak in this channel
Whirlpool and Electrolux (T)	.15	3	.45	X			Dominate industry
Japanese appliance companies (T)	.10	2	.2			Х	Asian presence
Totals	1.00		3.05				

Votes:

- List each of the strategic factors developed in your IFAS and EFAS tables in Column 1.
- Weight each factor from 1.0 (Most Important) to 0.0 (Not Important) in Column 2 based on that factor's probable impact on the company's strategic position. **The total weights must sum to 1.00**.
- Rate each factor from 5 (Outstanding) to 1 (Poor) in Column 3 based on the company's response to that factor.
- 4 Multiply each factor's weight times its rating to obtain each factor's weighted score in Column 4.
- For duration in Column 5, check appropriate column (short term—less than 1 year; intermediate—1 to 3 years; long term—over 3 years.)
- Use Column 6 (comments) for rationale used for each factor.
- Add the weighted scores to obtain the *total weighted score* for the company in Column 4. This figure tells how well the company is dealing with its strategic factors.

Source: T. J. Wheelen and J. D. Hunger. Strategic Factor Analysis Summary (SFAS). Copyright © 1987, 1988, 1989, 1990, 1991, 1992, 1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, and 2005 by T. J. Wheelen. Copyright © 1993, 1997, and 2005 by Theelen and Hunger Associates. Reprinted by permission.