



**Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Sloan School of Management**

Center for Information Systems Research



**UNITED PARCEL SERVICE:
Delivering Packages and E-Commerce Solutions**

The business model is changing, and our focus is changing. Our customers are demanding broader, deeper services, whether that's running telephone centers for them or doing their billing for them or going out and repairing and replacing their computer parts for them. We're doing all kinds of things we didn't dream of doing ten years ago.

*—Jim Kelly, CEO and
Chairman of the Board, UPS*

Jim Kelly, CEO of United Parcel Service (UPS), a company best known for reliable, efficient package delivery, was commenting in late 2000 on how his company had become far more than a package delivery company. For a decade, UPS had been capitalizing on opportunities created by information technology to increase the efficiency and flexibility of its core business. More recently, the Internet had presented a rapidly expanding set of business opportunities. Kelly observed that his challenge was to “walk the fine line” between a focus on enhancing UPS’ core business and a focus on developing new business opportunities.

Background

American Messenger Company (later renamed United Parcel Service) was founded in 1907 by James E. Casey to provide local messenger and delivery services in Seattle. By 2000, UPS had grown to become the world’s largest integrated package delivery company, delivering an average of 13.6 million packages a day sent by 1.8 million shippers to 7 million consignees. UPS employed approximately 359,000 people in 200 countries around the world. International revenues represented only about 15% of UPS’ \$27 billion in revenues in 1999, but international business was growing at a double-digit rate. (See Exhibit 1 for the firm’s financial summary.) Despite its size UPS was mostly a centralized business. Core functional units included U.S. operations, International Operations (both of which had regional offices as well), Sales and Marketing, Finance, Legal, Human Resources, and UPS Airlines. UPS started to introduce subsidiaries in the 1980s. (Exhibit 2 lists UPS subsidiaries as of late 2000.)

UPS emphasized efficiency in its delivery services. The firm employed a large industrial engineering staff, which studied ways to increase the efficiency of its operations. For example, included in its driver

This case was prepared by Dr. Jeanne W. Ross of the Center for Information Systems Research at the MIT Sloan School of Management. This case is for the purpose of management education, rather than illustrating or endorsing any particular management practice. The case is part of a research project on e-business migration conducted jointly by Melbourne Business School and the MIT Sloan School. Support for the project from PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP is gratefully acknowledged. This case may be reproduced free of charge for educational purposes provided the copyright statement appears on the copy.

training programs are instructions on which foot a driver should first put into the truck to minimize the time between boarding and driving. While efficiency was important to the firm's profitability and pricing structure, UPS management attributed the company's long-term success to the efforts of individual drivers who provided reliable, trusted service to their regular customers.

In 2000, UPS was named by *Fortune* magazine as both America's and the World's Most Admired mail, package and freight delivery company¹ and by *Forbes* magazine as Company of the Year.² UPS' information technology unit, which had been the recipient of the Computerworld Smithsonian award in 1991 and 1997, earned the firm a place among *Red Herring's* 100 Most Important Companies in 2000 and *PCWeek's* Fast-Track 100. MIT's Sloan School gave UPS a "Clicks-and-Mortar" award in April 2000, calling it the most advanced company in integrating physical and online business practices. These citations recognized that UPS was transforming itself from a package delivery firm to one that would, as senior managers described it, "offer total solutions to customers' global commerce needs."

Becoming a Technology-Driven Firm

Many of the awards UPS received in 1999–2000 recognized UPS' information technology capability, but UPS had not always emphasized information technology in the delivery of its services. In 1985, when UPS offered a single package delivery service at a single price and was generating revenues of about \$8 billion, the corporate IT unit numbered approximately 100 people and had a budget of approximately \$50 million. Some of the firm's regional operating units relied on their own IT staffs to support local needs, so that total IT spending was about \$75 million.

Around this time, the competition was introducing services—most notably package tracking—that were heavily dependent upon information processing. UPS management was not convinced that customers really cared about tracking so they were initially reluctant to invest in a tracking capability:

¹ *Fortune*, "The world's most admired companies," 01 October 2000.

² Barron, Kelly, "Logistics in Brown," *Forbes*, 10 January 2000. According to Barron, "UPS used to be a trucking company with technology. Now it's a technology company with trucks."

We thought, "Why do they care about tracking? We know we deliver them all. It's really only a creature comfort, because we deliver every package every day. We're so effective that there's no reason to track these packages."

—Mike Eskew, Vice Chairman
and Executive Vice President

Nonetheless, when Oz Nelson became CEO in 1986, he pushed the firm to invest heavily in information technology and develop the capability not just to track packages but to offer a wider range of services. Frank Erbrick, who was CIO at the time, commented on the motivation to change UPS' technology strategy:

Federal Express' strength with regard to the power of their tracking system and the things they were doing with technology was really eroding what little share of the market we had in air services. The board was immensely concerned that Federal would not only take the air business away, but also start doing daily ground business. They also saw the profitability of the air business and said, "We just can't continue as we are. We have to put a lot of money into technology."

Between 1986 and 1996 UPS invested more than \$11 billion in information technology, growing the IT unit to more than 4,000 people by 1997. Convinced of the importance of moving aggressively on IT, senior management did not require traditional financial justifications for infrastructure investments. This gave Frank Erbrick the freedom to build infrastructure with a long-term view. He broke ground for a large data processing facility in Mahwah, New Jersey, hired technical experts from outside the company to address the firm's need for network and database capabilities, and started to develop a centralized customer database. Erbrick noted that package tracking provided the rallying point for IT infrastructure:

There were some applications that were really critical to us, but tracking was always the Holy Grail. People were taking tracking this and tracking that. I said, "Look, we don't have anything in place to do tracking. We have no network. We have no databases. We have no repository for packaging. We are several years away from a sophisticated tracking system."

While the IT unit focused on developing a centralized infrastructure, a senior management committee³ established the direction for IT and ensured that UPS' expanding products and services both leveraged and directed IT investments. By 1990, UPS had a global network called UPS Net, three centralized databases (people, customers, packages), and a centralized data processing facility. UPS began to build applications around this infrastructure, starting with package tracking and billing.

The centralized IT infrastructure supported deployment of enterprise-wide applications. For example, in 1993 UPS introduced the DIAD⁴ (Delivery Information Acquisition Device). This device captured a customer signature with every delivery and uploaded data in real time to the package information database. The device was estimated to save each driver thirty minutes per day by automatically summarizing the day's results. With 60,000 drivers, the cost savings represented by one-half hour per person were significant, but the real value of the DIAD was in the additional information it collected about each package and customer. By capturing delivery data on every package, UPS enhanced its package database with information that it could use to better understand the profitability of individual customers and packages. The company used this information to make routing and pricing decisions, and, ultimately, to provide its customers with information on their individual shipping habits.

In the mid-1990s Frank Erbrick assigned a small team to study Web technologies, and UPS became one of the first companies to create its own web page. Although the initial web page was static, early experiments indicated that the Internet could facilitate linkages among UPS and its existing and potential customers. Accordingly, Joe Pyne, then Vice President of Marketing, assigned a team to study the impact of Internet technology on a supply chain. UPS management had not yet heard the term 'e-commerce,' but they believed that Internet technologies could tighten the supply chain and lead to fewer intermediaries. Eighty percent of UPS'

³ This seven-member team, consisting of functional unit heads, was later replaced by a four-person IT Steering Committee.

⁴ An early version of the DIAD was introduced as early as 1991 but it had minimal capabilities and was not fully rolled out.

revenues were generated by businesses shipping goods to other businesses and individual customers. If businesses increasingly used the Internet to sell directly to end customers rather than to wholesalers and distributors, the Internet would prove to be a boon to UPS' business:

Fewer intermediaries result in smaller, more frequent shipments and that plays right into UPS' hands. That's what we do well.

—Ross McCullough, Senior Director of E-Commerce

Extending the Core through E-Commerce

UPS' goals in electronic commerce were to enable more business and to establish a branded presence in global commerce solutions. From the beginning, management believed that electronic linkages with customers would allow UPS to get more deeply into their customers' businesses.

To establish tighter linkages with customers, UPS developed tools that could be embedded into customers' applications. Tracking was the first application. The IT unit built a web front-end onto the existing tracking system as well as an API⁵ that individual companies or vendors could integrate into their applications. Putting the tracking tool on the UPS web site generated immediate benefits by reducing call center traffic. Telephone calls were costing UPS more than \$2.00 each, while web inquiries cost only ten cents. Marketing considered the internal cost savings to be just the tip of the iceberg. The real benefit would come when customers integrated UPS into their own customer service systems:

We've gotten into customers' systems and shown that we can save them money, because if your customer calls and asks a question about your order, nine times out of ten, customer service can't answer it. They have to call shipping and shipping often calls somebody else. So now that one call is

⁵ API stands for application program interface. An API is a program that allows two different applications to communicate and exchange data. In this case UPS provided an API that allowed a different company's application to retrieve data from the UPS tracking system. The external system initiated a request for tracking information in a format understood by the API and then the API selected the appropriate data from the UPS tracking system and returned it to the external system.

generating a minimum of two other calls. If we assume the \$2.00 rule, now you've got \$6.00 in cost, where if I can give you a tracking number when you order—which we can do in our system—and send you to our page, you can self-service. So the customer gets better service. You take away the attitude of poor service providers and you get the right information when you want it.

—Joe Pyne, Senior VP, Marketing and Corporate Development

Although many customers were able and willing to integrate UPS' tracking API into their home-grown software, many others purchased software, including ERP systems. To meet the needs of these customers, UPS established alliances with key vendors. Companies like Oracle, Peoplesoft, Harbinger, IBM, and eventually SAP built the UPS tracking API into their software. UPS followed three principles in developing alliances with software vendors: the APIs would be developed by UPS; they would be embedded into the software of the alliance partner; and the service would be branded—when individual customers accessed UPS systems, the UPS logo would be on their screens.

To enable this strategy, UPS followed a policy of open standards. Management noted that having open standards distinguished its approach to e-commerce from that of competitors like Federal Express, which used proprietary systems:

We realized that we could get to larger groups of people quicker if we would embrace open standards. There were people out there, like IBM and Andersen and Harbinger, and hundreds of other folks that we've done alliances with, that did an awful lot of things better than we did. That really put us in the lead. Our competition wanted to do it all themselves, and that really gave us a leg up as we built these things. It was a good move for us.

—Mike Eskew, Vice Chairman and Executive Vice President

UPS' concern with its software distribution approach was that someone might sell UPS software externally. The firm was developing IT and legal mechanisms that would register users and establish a contractual agreement that limited how the software could be used. An alliance group was actively

“scouring the environment” looking for the vendor solutions that were prevalent among UPS customers. A UPS marketing team would sell those vendors on the value of embedding UPS tools in their software:

A lot of vendors wanted us to pay them to get the tools into their software, and we said no, we're giving you the value of our brand. We're increasing the functionality. Your customers will see greater value in what you're offering.

—Joe Pyne

Following the launch of the Tracking API, UPS introduced a Rates and Services tool that allowed UPS customers to link their own customers to a menu of UPS shipping options and costs. By late 2000, UPS had six OnLine Tools available to customers for downloading, and had compiled a list of 30 services, some functional, others informational, that the company intended to make available through UPS Online Tools. (See Exhibit 3 and the UPS website at www.ups.com for a description of tools available at the end of 2000.)

These tools leveraged the UPS infrastructure by accessing data from the centralized package and customer databases. For example, the tracking tool used data on package delivery transmitted by the DIAD. Because the DIAD confirmed receipt, UPS customers could immediately bill for goods that their customers had received. One UPS customer documented a reduction in days' sales outstanding from 48 to 34 days after tying UPS tracking into its accounts receivable system.

In addition to its OnLine Tools, UPS developed a wide range of web-supported products and services. UPS Document Exchange allowed firms to transfer large electronic files in a secure, encrypted environment, with full visibility real-time tracking and confirmation of delivery. UPS Returns Services supported the return process for shippers who wanted to make their customers' return process hassle-free. Finally, UPS shippers could enter package information on-line and receive the same services as firms that had downloaded the OnLine Tools (See Exhibit 4 and the UPS website at www.ups.com for a description of UPS' web-supported products and services.) These products and services were intended to bring UPS deeper into its customers' businesses and increasingly provide global commerce solutions:

We are driving deeper into our customers' systems so that they get a benefit. Then when we're in there, it's harder for them to get us out. If we are just talking to them at the dock, we can be replaced in a day. If we are in their order entry system, if we're adding value in their picking system, if we're adding value in their customer service system, in their warehousing system, it's harder to be replaced.

*—Joe Pyne, Senior Vice President,
Marketing and Corporate Development*

By mid-2000 more than 50,000 firms had downloaded UPS' OnLine Tools and integrated them into their shipping or accounting applications. On December 19, 2000, UPS received 6.5 million tracking requests, approximately double the number of tracking requests on the busiest day in 1999. In 1995, UPS had received only 100,000 tracking requests during the entire month of December. A UPS press release stated:

The surge in online tracking requests is a clear indication of the rapidly growing use of Internet technology, by UPS and its customers, to manage the "supply chains" of everyone from Fortune 500 companies to individual consumers.

Managing e-Commerce

UPS located responsibility for e-commerce in an electronic commerce team with two branches. One branch focused on alliance building and communication, strategy development, and technology. A group within this team defined technology requirements for OnLine Tools and handed them off to IT for development. This group then created marketing plans for each tool that clarified target customers and the specific, quantifiable value proposition for the tool.

The second branch of the e-commerce team was a group of over one hundred ECAMs (electronic commerce account managers). The ECAMs worked closely with traditional UPS account managers, accompanying them on their visits to large UPS customers:

So where the account manager has breadth about the entire UPS portfolio, the ECAM

can walk in and give depth to the power of process and transportation technology. They can go in and talk about how we save them \$4.77 per returned package because we provide visibility, or \$2.40 because we provide tracking.

*—Ross McCullough
Senior Director of E-Commerce*

Both branches of the e-commerce team were committed to integrating e-commerce into all of UPS:

The one key thing is that we did not create a separate organization in and of itself. In other words, my team is the core—the driving component of e-commerce, but it is not like we went off in a new building and closed the door and said "Don't come in, UPSers." We knew that we had to raise everyone up to know and understand 21st Century commerce. We are making sure that the entire IT organization knows and understands the implications of integration and how important it is to our future platform.

—Ross McCullough

Identifying New Business Opportunities

In addition to enhancing its core business, UPS believed that the Internet offered new opportunities to provide global commerce solutions for its customers. To identify these "out of the box" opportunities, Joe Pyne created UPS e-Ventures, a wholly owned subsidiary of UPS. e-Ventures was an incubator that explored ideas generated either internally or by potential alliance partners. The group had assessed more than thirty ideas in its first year and senior management was funding four of those concepts as new business initiatives. Each of the four businesses was expected to quickly deliver profits:

We're in the business of making money. Our investors are not going to be thrilled if we start losing money as a result of entering a new arena. So we don't have the luxury of going into those kinds of things and saying well, maybe 5 or 10 years from now we'll start to make a profit. The rules are a little different for dot-coms than they are for us.

*—Jim Kelly, CEO and
Chairman of the Board*

UPS launched its first e-Venture, UPS e-Logistics, in August 2000. This venture recognized that many dot-com organizations were focused almost entirely on the front-end of their businesses and were incapable of fulfillment. UPS e-Logistics provided a complete menu of “behind the scene” services that would manage the entire back-end logistics process from the time an order was placed on a customer’s web site to the time the order was delivered. Services included warehousing, inventory management, order fulfillment (pick, pack and ship), shipping and delivery management reporting, returns management, customer care, and telephone support:

If you have a good idea and you’re a marketing company or a service company, but you have no idea how to fulfill, we’ll bring our expertise to do that for you. So then you just have to market, sell your product or your service. And we’ll do everything else.

—Joe Pyne, Senior Vice President
Marketing and Corporate Development

If e-Logistics succeeded, UPS management would have to decide whether to fold it into an existing business unit, such as Worldwide Logistics, or continue to operate it as a separate subsidiary. Management expected that the proliferation of new businesses that would grow out of the e-Ventures unit would make UPS a more complex business.

Managing IT for E-Commerce

UPS’ e-commerce initiatives were built on an IT infrastructure that was highly centralized and standardized. A data center in Atlanta replicated the operations of the main data center in Mahwah. Sensing that UPS could not afford down-time, Ken Lacy, the CIO, switched operations on a daily basis between the two data centers to ensure that they could hand off operations without interruption in case of a disaster.

Centralization and standardization led to highly cost-effective IT operations, which had long been a priority at UPS. In the mid-1990s, however, management wanted to leverage its infrastructure to become more flexible and responsive to customer needs. UPS identified a variety of ways that it could leverage its infrastructure to generate value for its customers. For example its customer information

database maintained information on each customer’s shipping habits:

Customers give us information so that we can provide them with origin and destination management. If they’re shipping different products, then that’s fine, but if they’re shipping similar products, we can say, “You are shipping the same product out of six different warehouses, and if you really wanted to minimize warehouses, minimize the staffing for those warehouses, reduce your inventories, then you can do that out of one or two warehouses. Let us show you how to do that with our logistics group.” That lets us come in with our site locators and help them determine the right location to be able to staff, size, and fulfill their orders out of the minimal number of warehouses. That’s the kind of thing we can do with data.

—Mike Eskew, Vice Chairman and
Executive Vice President

In addition, the IT unit had started to create more modular applications that could be re-used. This reduced development time, so that applications could be delivered faster:

With the Internet, tracking was the first application, and that was just reusing mainframe-based technology. We wrote an interface module that was used originally to interface customer service to our tracking environment. Then we extended it to the Internet, and then extended it for IVR.⁶ It’s just a query entrance, so basically here’s the API, do a query, submit this data. It will go out, peruse all the databases in two different data centers, pull the data together, present it back in this file format, and your application just uses it.

—Jim Medeiros, UPS Information Services

As the firm learned to leverage its infrastructure, the IT unit found that ideas for new systems applications rapidly multiplied. Meanwhile, the emergence of e-commerce exacerbated the overwhelming demand for IT resources. CIO Lacy noted that heavy demands for IT resources led to a tendency on the

⁶ IVR stands for Interactive Voice Recognition, which is technology that accepts spoken input and converts it to digital data.

part of business units to try to build their own solutions.

How do you satisfy the appetite? We could distribute IT resources [to address immediate needs] and later bring them back. Because we keep IT centralized, people say, "Well, I can do it cheaper and faster on my own so I'll go do it myself." We are fighting this all the time. But every time they go out and do the front-end piece with \$250,000, then the back end piece doesn't work and they have to come back to me.

—Ken Lacy, Senior Vice President and CIO

Despite an annual investment in IT of almost \$1 billion, UPS could not respond to every system development request that could show a positive ROI. UPS management observed the need for governance structures that would (1) allocate IT resources to the most strategic opportunities and (2) preserve, as appropriate, infrastructure standards.

Establishing IT Investment Priorities

An IT Steering Committee, consisting of four senior executives,⁷ was charged with ensuring technology direction. This included determining investment priorities. By the late 1990s, it was clear to senior management that customer solutions demanded end-to-end processes and they wanted to focus IT investment on supporting cross-functional processes within the firm. They identified four key processes: customer relationship management, customer information management, package management, and product management. For each core process, a cross-functional team, headed by a senior executive, determined its IT priorities. Anyone could submit to any of the cross-functional teams a project charter that spelled out the expected costs and benefits of a potential project. The process teams then submitted their highest priority project charters to IT, who could provide the needed resources or negotiate ways to scale back the required resources. Dave Spedden, a representative to the Customer Relationship Management Process, explained the funding process:

⁷ The Steering Committee consisted of Mike Eskew, Vice Chairman; and Executive Vice President; Joe Pyne, Senior Vice President, Corporate Development and Marketing; Jack Duffy, Senior Vice President, Corporate Strategy; and Ken Lacy, the CIO.

There was a time at UPS where just about any idea was approved and worked on. We've gotten more into a budgetary process and people are starting to see that all worthy ideas may not get funded. The program management group (cross-functional team) takes all the charters and decides whether or not each project is worth doing. Among those, they develop a cross-prioritization of where each belongs based on return on investment, impact on other functionalities, whether it is really good for the customer and the business, etc. It's a pretty complicated process that we go through once every year usually in the third or fourth quarter to set up the following year's project budget, but it does continue throughout the year because ideas evolve all the time.

Spedden noted that process managers encouraged business unit managers to submit short-term projects, because "if it takes more than 12 months to deliver, the business will probably change while you're in your cycle."

In addition to funding new development and maintenance, CIO Lacy emphasized to the other IT Steering Committee members the importance of "refreshing" the infrastructure. The increasing use of packages meant that UPS needed to periodically update systems in order to retain vendor support. In addition, standard technologies became outdated, making them expensive to maintain. Of course, no business unit wanted to pay to upgrade systems that were still working, so senior management provided central funding and Lacy decided when systems and technologies needed to be refreshed:

On the infrastructure I know that we must bite this off and do some each year. You can put things off, but eventually you're going to get caught. So I try to make sure there are funds available to continually refresh, which is not a real popular thing.

—Ken Lacy

Protecting Infrastructure Standards

The IT Steering was committed to the principle of technology standards, and members recognized that IT standards meant lower operations costs and easier integration:

The scale, the size, the integration with all the other systems, and the maintenance all beg for centralization and standardization. In so many cases, though, our [IT unit] has been the slave of the masters (the business units) that drive them. If you told them what you wanted, they'd take care of your needs. Probably, IT has been overly generous... We have a product management group responsible for developing new [IT] products. They use templates so that we don't bring in one group to develop a Saturday delivery system and then another group to develop a Sunday delivery system a totally different way. Those are the kinds of things that we've struggled with in the past. We've learned those lessons.

—Mike Eskew, Vice Chairman and Executive Vice President Member, IT Steering Committee

Senior management wanted to leverage the infrastructure wherever possible, but they also wanted to recognize when exceptions to standard were appropriate. For large projects, Joe Pyne might work directly with CIO Ken Lacy to determine whether the technology to enable a particular new business venture should be developed within or outside the core infrastructure. In other cases, an IT Governance Committee resolved issues around infrastructure standards.⁸ Jim Medeiros, who orchestrated infrastructure support of all subsidiaries, including e-Venture projects, noted that a natural tension existed between those who defined unique business needs and those who protected infrastructure standards. His role was to negotiate these tensions so that each new development project within the subsidiaries was built on the most appropriate platform. Senior management, he commented, encouraged ongoing debates around the appropriateness of using standard platforms:

I was giving a presentation to our Vice Chairman and I was talking about a particular [non-standard] technology that one of the subsidiaries was looking to use. He stopped me in my tracks and said, "Why are you even discussing it with them?"

⁸ The IT Governance Committee, which was headed by CIO Ken Lacy and consisted of top IT managers, resolved most disputes so that the IT Steering Committee could focus on technology strategy.

Because in his view, it sounded like what we had they could use. And that wasn't the case. But what he was trying to reinforce was, "You know, you've got the stick." But he'll also turn around in other meetings and say to business leaders, "Yes, go out there and push the envelope on the technical side," because these are all technology-based businesses and sooner or later it comes down to the technology.

—Jim Medeiros, UPS Information Services

Lacy wanted to be sure that, whenever possible, old architectures were leveraged but that the IT infrastructure did not limit business creativity. Moreover, with e-commerce initiatives, business units were often anxious to deliver systems quickly to test customer reaction. To provide additional flexibility to the business units, Lacy noted that sometimes it would be appropriate for the business units to build new systems on their own, using local contractors. He insisted, however, that they comply with UPS standards:

When little companies start up, they want to make things happen. They don't want to wait in line for Big Brown. So where they can use the core, we'll build on that. Where they have to move forward quickly, they'll get their own applications development staff and develop their own applications. They can put their servers in our data center, and we'll give them 24 X 7. But we'll make sure they know our standards.

—Ken Lacy, Senior Vice President and CIO

Looking Ahead

In 2000 UPS had, arguably, exceeded Federal Express' IT capability:

We did studies years ago and found that Federal Express is perceived as the high technology company in transportation. Well, we track all those key factors by customers now and we've narrowed that gap to where they may have an advantage in two or three areas out of maybe 15 areas. Five to seven years ago, they had the advantage in all but two or three.

—Joe Pyne, Senior Vice President, Marketing and Corporate Development

In the future, UPS expected to be able to leverage its data and systems to further improve service. For example, if a consignee would not be home to receive a delivery, that consignee could specify where the package should be dropped off. UPS would enter the address into the database and the truck-loading algorithm would recognize the change so that the package could be re-routed without delay:

We're going to treat each package and each customer like it's the only one we have.

*—Mike Eskew, Vice Chairman and
Executive Vice President*

Even as it looked to enhance customer service in the package delivery arena, UPS was committed to expanding its services and entering new businesses. Jim Kelly noted that in attempting to address customer needs, UPS would enter new businesses that could leverage UPS' infrastructure, brand, and reputation. In doing so, he explained, UPS was addressing the inevitable:

You can't stop e-commerce and you can't stop globalization. So you'd better figure out a way to participate in that environment.

*—Jim Kelly, CEO and
Chairman of the Board*

EXHIBIT ONE
UPS Financial Summary 1997-1999

<u>Income Statement:</u>	<u>1997</u>	<u>1998</u>	<u>1999(a)</u>
Revenue	\$ 22,458	\$ 24,788	\$ 27,052
Compensation and Benefits	(13,289)	(14,346)	(15,285)
Other Operating Expenses	(7,471)	(7,352)	(7,779)
Total Operating Expenses	<u>(20,760)</u>	<u>(21,698)</u>	<u>(23,064)</u>
Operating Profit	1,698	3,090	3,988
Other Income (Expense)	(145)	(188)	(114)
Pre-Tax Income	<u>1,553</u>	<u>2,902</u>	<u>3,874</u>
Income Taxes	(644)	(1,161)	(1,549)
Net Income	<u>909</u>	<u>1,741</u>	<u>2,325</u>
EBITDA	2,803	4,241	5,241
<u>Balance Sheet:</u>	<u>1997</u>	<u>1998</u>	<u>1999</u>
Cash & Marketable Securities	\$ 460	\$ 1,629	\$ 6,278
Accounts Receivable	2,405	2,713	3,167
Other Current Assets	1,612	1,083	1,693
Property, Plant & Equipment (Net)	11,007	11,384	11,579
Other Assets	428	258	326
Total Assets	15,912	17,067	23,043
Current Liabilities	3,398	3,717	4,198
Long-Term Debt	2,583	2,191	1,912
Accumulated Postretirement Benefit Obligation	911	969	990
Deferred Taxes, Credits, & Other Liabilities	2,933	3,017	3,469
Shareowners' Equity	<u>6,087</u>	<u>7,173</u>	<u>12,474</u>
Total Liabilities and Equity	15,912	17,067	23,043

Notes:

(a) 1999 Net Income excludes the tax assessment charge incurred in the second quarter. The tax assessment reduced 1999 net income by \$1.442 billion.